

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLÍCATION NO.       | FILING DATE       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/028,140 12/21/2001 |                   | Douglas Stanton      | US010687            | 2455             |
| 24737 7               | 7 7590 12/04/2003 |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| PHILIPS INT           | ELLECTUAL PROPE   | PERTY & STANDARDS    | DOWLING, WILLIAM C  |                  |
| P.O. BOX 3001         |                   |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| BRIARCLIFF            | MANOR, NY 10510   |                      | 2851                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 12/04/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |   | Amuli  | and an Ala   |  | - AS                               |
|--|---|--|--|--|------------------------------------|
|  |   | Арріі  | cation No.   | Applicant(s)   | Bo.                                |
| -1 <sup>§</sup>                                      | Office Action Summers   |  | 28,140<br>   | STANTON, DOUGLAS   | S                                  |
|  | Office Action Summary   | Exam   |  | Art Unit   |                                    |
|  | Ti 1144 NO DATE (44)  |  | m C. Dowling   | 2851   |                                    |
| Period fo  | The MAILING DATE of this commu<br>or Reply  | nication appears oi  | n the cov ir sheet w   | ntin the correspond ince addres  | ss                                 |
| THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNISIONS of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty period for reply is specified above, the maximum are to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).                 | NICATION. as of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In amunication. (30) days, a reply within th statutory period will apply a ly will, by statute, cause th  | no event, however, may a<br>e statutory minimum of thi<br>and will expire SIX (6) MO<br>e application to become A  | reply be timely filed  rly (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this commu  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).   | unication.                         |
| 1)   | Responsive to communication(s) fi   | led on <u>10 Se<i>pteml</i></u>  | <u>per 2003</u> .  |  |                                    |
| 2a)⊠   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> .   | 2b) ☐ This action  | is non-final.  |  |                                    |
| 3)□  | Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practice.  |  |  |  | erits is                           |
| Dispositi  | on of Claims  |  |  |  |                                    |
| 4)🛛  | Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the   | application.   |  |  |                                    |
|  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/   | are withdrawn fron   | n consideration.   |  | ,                                  |
| 5) 🗌   | Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |  |  |                                    |
|  | Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.   |  |  |  |                                    |
| •  | Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |  |  |  | •                                  |
| 8)[  | Claim(s) are subject to restr   | iction and/or electi   | on requirement.  | <i>f</i> .   |                                    |
| Applicati  | on Papers   |  |  | 1  |                                    |
|  | The specification is objected to by t   |  | _  |  |                                    |
| 10)  | The drawing(s) filed on is/are  |  |  |  |                                    |
|  | Applicant may not request that any obj  |  |  |  |                                    |
| . —  | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including  | -  |  |  |                                    |
| •  | The oath or declaration is objected   | to by the Examine  | r. Note the attache  | ed Office Action or form PTO-  | 152.                               |
| _  | under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  |  |  |  |                                    |
| * 5<br>13)   | Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priorit 2. Certified copies of the priorit 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internat See the attached detailed Office act Acknowledgment is made of a claim ince a specific reference was included 7 CFR 1.78. ) The translation of the foreign la Acknowledgment is made of a claim eference was included in the first see | y documents have y documents have s of the priority docional Bureau (PCT ion for a list of the for domestic prioried in the first sentenguage provisional for domestic prioriem. | been received. been received in a cuments have been received in a cuments have been received in a cuments have been received at application has lity under 35 U.S.C. | Application No  n received in this National State t received § 119(e) (to a provisional application Data to been received §§ 120 and/or 121 since a second s | plication)<br>ta Sheet.<br>pecific |
| Attachmen  | t(s)  |  | _  |  |                                    |
| 2) Notic   | e of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review<br>mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)   |  |  | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)<br>Informal Patent Application (PTO-15   |                                    |

Art Unit: 2851

### DETAILED ACTION

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-5, 7-11, 13-21 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yokoyama (6,547,400).

Yokoyama discloses a projection arrangement wherein a plurality of LED's arranged on a substrate (wafer) (Column 7 Line 8) for producing lights of at least two colors. Figure 13 illustrates the arrangement of the LED's. Each set of R, G, B

Art Unit: 2851

is interpreted as a "group". As noted in the description of Figure 14, the LED's may be illuminated such that each individual color diode of each group is sequentially illuminated, thus producing a "scroll" effect of colored lines and also are considered to be "flashed" as the limitation is commonly defined.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 6 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yokoyama.

Yokoyama does not specify the claimed method of formation of the LED array.

As best as the formation of the LED's on multiple substrates can be understood from the skeletal description in the specification, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to form an LED array by a variety of methods including single and plural

Art Unit: 2851

"substrate" bases because such is well within the level of ordinary skill of conventional electronics.

# Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

As regards applicant's argument with respect to claims 6 and 12 that "the mere existence of an object neither teaches or suggests it's use in a particular application", it is noted that the specification fails to present any description as to whether or not such a structure results in any critical component of the invention. The rejection is based upon the teaching that one of ordinary skill would have known how to form an LED array by a variety of methods, any one of which would act as well as any other in the context of the invention of Yokoyama.

#### Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this

Art Unit: 2851

action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Dowling whose telephone number is 703-308-1287. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Russ Adams can be reached on 703-308-2847. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7723 for regular communications and 703-305-7723 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-3431.

Application/Control Number: 10/028,140 Page 6

Art Unit: 2851

William C. Dowling Primary Examiner Art Unit 2851

wcd

December 1, 2003